

CITY OF MERCER ISLAND

9611 SE 36th Street • Mercer Island, WA 98040-3732 (206) 275-7605 • FAX (206) 275-7726 www.mercerisland.gov

February 19, 2021

Kristen C. Reid Belcher Swanson 900 Dupont St Bellingham WA 98225 *Via email*

RE: CAO20-004 Notice of Decision (7025 North Mercer Way Critical Area Review 1)

Dear Ms. Reid,

Thank you for your Critical Area Review 1 (CAR 1) application submitted November 18, 2020, and Mayo Property Watercourse Assessment Memorandum submitted December 28, 2020. In your application, you requested that the City of Mercer Island provide verification of whether a Type Ns watercourse was present on the property directly to the west of the property addressed as 7025 North Mercer Way. As you are aware, the definition of "Type Ns watercourse" is found in the Mercer Island City Code (MICC) Chapter 19.16.

Based on review of the information submitted in support of this application, the City has found that the feature in question does meet the definition of a Type Ns watercourse. As documented in the third-party review memo included as Attachment 1, the feature shows indicators of an active channel and does not meet the definition of Type S, F, or Np waters, and its features instead support the presence of a Type Ns watercourse. That the feature flows into a pipe downstream does not cause the feature to be excluded from the definition of Type Ns watercourse because piped watercourses are considered part of the aboveground channel system. (In a contrasting example, a feature that infiltrated into the ground before reaching Lake Washington would *not* be considered a watercourse, because infiltration would exclude the feature from being connected by an aboveground channel system).

CAR 1 applications are a Type I land use review, which are appealable to the City's Hearing Examiner, pursuant to MICC 19.15.030 Table B. To file an appeal, please submit the information required by MICC 19.15.130(D) within 14 days of this letter, pursuant to MICC 19.15.130(B). Mercer Island City Hall remains closed due to the COVID-19 pandemic; to submit appeal materials please mail them to the address above, attn: City Clerk, or make an appointment via email with the City Clerk to deliver it materials. The City Clerk can be reached at deb.estrada@mercerisland.gov.

As noted in previous correspondence, please recall that this CAR 1 review does not provide any vested rights or entitlements different from or in excess of those provided by the MICC or Washington State

Exhibit 4 - Page 2 of 5

law (either in scope or duration). It does not replace any other necessary land use review approvals, such as but not limited to, building permits. This CAR 1 review does not guarantee that any particular project is feasible or permissible on the property subject to the CAR 1 review or that the subject property is suitable for any particular use. Nothing in this CAR 1 review constitutes legal advice; applicants are advised to consult with legal advisors such as yourself as to laws, regulations, and decision criteria applicable to any proposed project and/or use of the subject property.

Sincerely,

Robin Proebsting, Senior Planner

Nobin Brulty

City of Mercer Island Community Planning and Development

robin.proebsting@mercerisland.gov

(206) 275-7717

Attachments:

1. Memorandum prepared by Scott Olmsted and Rachelle Tews, ESA, dated February 18, 2021



Exhibit 4 - Page 3 of 5

5309 Shilshole Avenue N Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98107 206.789.9658 phone 206.789.9684 fax

memorandum

date February 18, 2021

to Robin Proebsting, Senior Planner, City of Mercer Island

from Scott Olmsted, Senior Ecologist

Rachelle Tews, Ecologist

7025 North Mercer Way (Parcel #735570-0191) Critical Areas Evaluation (CAO20-004); Third

subject Party Review

Environmental Science Associates (ESA) prepared this memorandum on behalf of the City of Mercer Island (City) to provide third-party review of the *Mayo Property Watercourse Assessment Memorandum* (Memo) prepared by PBS Engineering and Environment (PBS), dated December 28, 2020. The Memo addresses site conditions west of 7025 North Mercer Way (Parcel #735570-0191). The property owner intends to sell the parcel and was informed the watercourse buffer may constrain future development and the property's value.

The purpose of this review memorandum is to verify the accuracy of the findings within the Memo and determine whether the Memo is consistent with the Mercer Island City Code's (MICC) environmental regulations for critical areas, specifically watercourses in MICC 19.07.180.

Document Review

In addition to the Memo, ESA reviewed information available in the public domain including:

- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife web-mapping tools (Priority Habitats and Species mapping and Salmonscape)
- King County GIS mapping website (iMap)
- City of Mercer Island Information and Geographic Services online Mapping Portal (IGS)

Salmonscape and iMap do not depict a stream adjacent to the subject property. However, a 1936 aerial image on iMap shows a vegetated corridor along the entire reach of the watercourse alignment, and hill shade and topographic maps indicates the presence of a linear depression in the same footprint. This imagery indicates the likely presence of an aboveground stream before the area was redeveloped. The City's IGS indicates the presence of a Type Ns (Non-Fish Seasonal) watercourse that originates on Parcel #735570-0235. From here, the watercourse flows north through Parcels #735570-0220, 735570-0210, and 7355700200 before discharging to an 18-inch concrete pipe located under North Mercer Way. The watercourse then continues to flow north in the pipe until it discharges into Lake Washington. The

7025 North Mercer Way (Parcel #735570-0191) Critical Areas Evaluation (CAO20-004); Third Party Review

City's IGS both the Type Ns section and piped watercourse section on the Environmental and Stormwater Utilities data layers.

According to the Memo submitted by PBS, the portion of watercourse (referred to as Feature A) located south of North Mercer Way exhibits all three primary indicators of an "active channel," as termed in the Memo, and is consistent with the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of a non-perennial stream in the region. The Memo states that while the open channel of Feature A satisfies the flow requirements of a Type Ns watercourse as described in MICC 19.16.010, the piped portion of the drainage feature beneath North Mercer Way and continuing to the north does not constitute an aboveground channel system that connects it to Lake Washington, and as a result, the Memo concludes that the watercourse does not satisfy the City of Mercer Island's definition of a Type Ns watercourse.

Review of Site Conditions

ESA ecologist Rachelle Tews conducted a site visit on January 8, 2021. The visit included a visual observation of existing site conditions from the public right-of-way specifically focused on Feature A.

At the time of the site visit, Feature A was visible on Parcel #735570-0210 (1818 70th Avenue SE) and continued north across Parcel #735570-0200 (1804 70th Avenue SE). From here, Feature A flows north into the 18-inch concrete pipe located under North Mercer Way. This pipe continues north for approximately 400 feet to its outfall at Lake Washington. While the discharge point into Lake Washington was not visually confirmed due to lack of access, its location coincides with the mapped feature shown on the City's IGS Stormwater Utilities data layer. About one inch of water was present in Feature A at the time of the site visit. There were also overland flow and stormwater pipes directing residential runoff into Feature A south of the roadway.

All three primary indicators of an "active channel" described in the memo were observed: a break in slope south of North Mercer way, changes in sediment character, and changes in vegetation. In the channel, there was evidence of scour and silt deposits.

Mercer Island Watercourses

Definition of Watercourse

Section 19.16.010 of MICC defines a watercourse as:

"A course or route, formed by nature and generally consisting of a channel with a bed, banks, or sides throughout substantially all its length, along which surface waters, with some regularity (annually in the rainy season), naturally and normally flow in draining from higher to lower lands. This definition does not include irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, storm water runoff devices, or other courses unless they are used by fish or to convey waters that were naturally occurring prior to construction."

By this definition, Feature A meets the criteria for a watercourse: it has a channel with a defined bed and bank, intermittent to seasonal surface water, and flows from higher to lower elevation.

7025 North Mercer Way (Parcel #735570-0191) Critical Areas Evaluation (CAO20-00

Definition of Type Ns Watercourse

MICC 19.16.010 defines a Type Ns water as follows:

"Type Ns, which include all segments of natural waters within the bankfull width of the defined channels that are not Type S, F, or Np waters. These are seasonal, nonfish habitat streams in which surface flow is not present for at least some portion of a year of normal rainfall and are not located downstream from any stream reach that is a Type Np water. Ns waters must be physically connected by an aboveground channel system to Type S, F, or Np waters."

Feature A conveys natural waters and does not meet the definition of Type S, F, or Np waters. The watercourse flows seasonally, likely does not provide fish habitat, and is not located downstream of a Type Np water. Mercer Island City Code states a Type Ns water must also be physically connected to another water by an "aboveground channel system." ESA understands this section of code to mean that Type Ns watercourses do not drain naturally into subsurface soils. This understanding has been further supported by a separate communication with Larry Fisher, WDFW Area Habitat Biologist (personal communication February 4, 2021). Mr. Fisher confirmed that WDFW would still regulate the watercourse as a stream because the pipe is an approved conveyance system and the stream flow does not naturally infiltrate subsurface. Therefore, a piped segment of watercourse would still be considered an aboveground channel system and the open channel segment of Feature A would be regulated as a Type Ns watercourse.

Separately, MICC defines a piped watercourse under MICC 19.16.010 as:

"Piped watercourses, which are pipes or other conveyances through which surface waters, with some regularity (annually in the rainy season), naturally and normally flow in draining from higher to lower lands. This definition does not include irrigation and drainage ditches, grass-lined swales, canals, storm water runoff devices, or other courses unless they are used by fish or to convey waters that were naturally occurring prior to construction."

The City regulates piped watercourses under this definition and development near them under MICC 19.07.180.C.6. The regulation supports ESA's understanding that City code does not exclude channels upgradient of pipes from regulation as a particular type of watercourse if those segments meet the applicable definition of the applicable type of watercourse consistent with the direction from WDFW.

Recommendation

Due to the presence of an open channel segment of watercourse upgradient of a piped watercourse and our understanding of Type Ns watercourses as defined in MICC 19.16.010 and supporting communication with WDFW, ESA recommends the open water segment of Feature A be regulated as a Type Ns watercourse.